

County Subdivisions

All counties and statistically equivalent entities consist of one or more geographic units that the Bureau of the Census recognizes as *county subdivisions*. The two major types of county subdivisions are minor civil divisions (MCDs) and census county divisions (CCDs). A State has either MCDs or their statistical equivalents, or CCDs; it cannot contain both.

Minor civil divisions are the primary subcounty governmental or administrative units; they have legal boundaries and names as well as governmental functions or administrative purposes specified by State law. The most familiar types of MCDs are towns and townships, but there are many others (see Table 8-1). In some situations, the Census Bureau must complete the coverage of subcounty units by creating additional entities called *unorganized territories (UTs)* that it treats as being statistically equivalent to MCDs. The Census Bureau has established UTs in certain MCD States to account for the part or parts of a county that are not within any MCD or MCD equivalent. As of 1994, unorganized territories exist in nine States: Arkansas, Iowa, Indiana, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, North Carolina, North Dakota, and South Dakota.¹ The Census Bureau recognizes MCDs and MCD equivalents as the county subdivisions of 28 States and the District of Columbia (see Figure 8-1).

Census county divisions are the statistical entities established cooperatively by the Census Bureau and officials of State and local governments in the 21 States where MCDs either do not exist or are unsatisfactory for the collection, presentation, and analysis of census statistics. They are designed to represent community areas focused on trading centers or, in some instances, major land use areas. They have visible, permanent, and easily described boundaries.

In the State of Alaska, which has no counties and no MCDs, the Census Bureau and State officials have established census subareas (CSAs) as the statistical equivalents of MCDs. These are subdivisions of the boroughs (legal entities) and census areas (statistical entities), both of which serve as the geographic equivalents of counties in Alaska. Although CSAs are similar to CCDs, there are enough differences to warrant treating them as a separate type of county subdivision.

The decennial censuses of population and housing identify and present data for all types of county subdivisions in every State (see Table 8-2). Certain types of MCDs figure in the population estimates programs, the Census of Governments, and, on a selective basis, the economic censuses. This chapter discusses the county subdivisions of the United States; for information on similar geographic entities in Puerto Rico and the Outlying Areas, see Chapter 7.

Background

The decennial population censuses always have sought to identify county subdivisions as a primary geographic entity. The first census (1790) reported population counts for MCDs (towns, townships, and other units of local government), and this practice continued throughout subsequent censuses. For States in which local governmental units did not exist below the county level, various administrative units or other area designations were used, such as companies, districts, hundreds, *remainder of county*, and *eastern (or western) portion of county*. Although this chapter does not trace the history of such alternate and short-lived entities in detail, the final section of this chapter provides some background information about the different kinds of MCDs used for the 1990 decennial census.

Starting with the 1950 census, there have been four significant developments in the Census Bureau's treatment of county subdivisions: (1) the replacement of MCDs with CCDs in 21 States, (2) the establishment of the UT as a standard geographic entity in 9 States, (3) the subdivision of Alaska into CSAs, and (4) the establishment of the Boundary and Annexation Survey (BAS) program.

Table 8-1. Type and Number of County Subdivisions in 1990

Townships	18,154
Census County Divisions ¹	5,581
Incorporated Places ²	4,533
Towns	3,608
Election Precincts	948
Magisterial Districts	735
Parish Governing Authority Districts	627
Supervisors' Districts	410
Unorganized Territories ¹	282
Election Districts	276
Census Subareas ¹	40
Plantations	36
Assessment Districts	21
American Indian Reservations ³	7
Grants	9
Purchases	6
Boroughs	5
Gores	4
Locations	4
Pseudo County Subdivision ¹	I
Road District	I
Total County Subdivisions:	35,298

¹ Entities established for statistical reporting purposes only.

² This total includes only those incorporated places that are governmentally independent entities. For details, refer to the subsections "Treatment of MCDs and Places in the Data Tables," "Criteria for MCD Equivalents," "Places," and to Tables 8-2, 8-3, and 8-4.

³ Only in a few instances are American Indian reservations coextensive with an MCD (see Table 8-2).





Alabama	Census County Division	390
Alaska	Census Subarea	40
Arizona	Census County Division	78
Arkansas	Township Unorganized Territory	1333 2
California	Census County Division	386
Colorado	Census County Division	208
Connecticut	Town	169
Delaware	Census County Division	27
District of Columbia	Incorporated Place (city)	I
Florida	Census County Division	293
Georgia	Census County Division	581
Hawaii	Census County Division	44
Idaho	Census County Division	170
Illinois	Township Election Precinct Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i>	1434 243 2
Indiana	Township	1008
lowa	Township Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i> Unorganized Territory	1602 53 1
Kansas	Township Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i> Unorganized Territory	1414 129 2
Kentucky	Census County Division	475
Louisiana	Parish Governing Authority District Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i> Unorganized Territory	627 I I
Maine	Town Plantation Unorganized Territory Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i> American Indian Reservation Gore	433 36 35 22 3 I

Table 8-2. Type and Number of County Subdivisions by State in 1990

Table 8-2. (cont.)

Maryland	Election District Assessment District Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i>	276 21 1
Massachusetts	Town Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i>	312 39
Michigan	Township Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i>	1242 283
Minnesota	Township Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i> Unorganized Territory	1803 880 59
Mississippi	Supervisors' District	410
Missouri	Township Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i>	367
Montana	Census County Division	193
Nebraska	Election Precinct Township Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i>	705 469 81
Nevada	Census County Division	67
New Hampshire	Town Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i> Grant Purchase Township Location	222 13 6 6 4
New Jersey	Incorporated Place <i>(total)</i> Borough City Town Village Township	320 250 52 15 3 247
New Mexico	Census County Division	131
New York	Town Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i> American Indian Reservation Borough	932 62 14 5
North Carolina	Township Unorganized Territory	1037 3
North Dakota	Township Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i> Unorganized Territory	352 373 8

Table 8-2.	(cont.)

Ohio	Township Incorporated Place <i>(total)</i> City Village	3 8 235 7 64
Oklahoma	Census County Division	302
Oregon	Census County Division	211
Pennsylvania	Township Incorporated Place <i>(total)</i> Borough City Town Road District	549 034 977 56
Rhode Island	Town Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i>	31 8
South Carolina	Census County Division	294
South Dakota	Township Incorporated Place <i>(total)</i> City Town Village Unorganized Territory	973 318 162 155 1 98
Tennessee	Census County Division	462
Texas	Census County Division	863
Utah	Census County Division	90
Vermont	Town Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i> Gore Grant	242 9 3 1
Virginia	Magisterial District Incorporated Place <i>(city)</i> Pseudo County Subdivision	458 41 I
Washington	Census County Division	245
West Virginia	Magisterial District	277
Wisconsin	Town Incorporated Place <i>(total)</i> Village City	1267 627 417 210
Wyoming	Census County Division	71

The Shift from MCDs to CCDs

Many States in the southern and western parts of the United States had few or no subcounty governmental units that could serve as an adequate geographic framework for census purposes. The MCDs in those States frequently proved difficult to enumerate because their boundaries and names were not well known locally or were subject to frequent change. Also, these MCDs presented problems in the data tabulations because they often divided incorporated places into many component parts. This cluttered the census tables with superfluous lines of data, many with very small populations that were not meaningful to data users or that yielded statistically unreliable data from the questions asked of only a sample of households. In addition, most data users found these MCDs unsatisfactory for purposes of statistical analysis because of frequent name changes and boundary shifts that resulted in a lack of geographic comparability. These changes made it difficult—or impossible—to use these MCDs as a stable spatial unit for historical comparisons.

In order to provide a more useful set of geographic entities for data tabulation and analysis, the Census Bureau worked with State and local officials to establish a statistically equivalent subcounty unit that it called the census county division (CCD). The State of Washington was the first to implement CCDs and did so in time for the 1950 census publications. During the 1950s and 1960s, State officials and the Census Bureau replaced MCDs with CCDs in 19 more States. A twenty-first State, North Dakota, adopted CCDs for the 1970 census; shortly thereafter, it opted to return to MCDs-a decision based on the financial advantages of having MCDs that qualified for Federal Revenue Sharing funds rather than any disenchantment with the advantages of CCDs for statistical purposes. For the 1990 census, CCDs were established in the State of Nevada, making a current total of 21 States with CCDs. The adoption of CCDs has constituted a major change in the subcounty geography for a substantial part of the Nation. For detailed information on the origin and development of CCDs, the reader should consult Census County Divisions, Past and Future.²

Unorganized Territories as Standard Geographic Entities

Some counties in certain MCD States contain territory, usually somewhat remote and sparsely populated, that is not part of any MCD. For States in which the township and range system of land survey existed, these areas usually were included in some governmentally nonfunctioning survey township. In other States, these expanses of territory often were unnamed, and identified in the census data tables as *unorganized area, unsurveyed area,* or *balance of county*.

These geographic areas posed problems in both the collection and the presentation of decennial census data. Enumerators had a hard time locating the boundaries of survey townships; moreover, the survey townships often were very numerous and usually too small in population to provide statistically reliable data from the questions asked on a sample basis. Names such as *Township 69 and Range 21*, or *Fractional Township 70 and Range 18* cluttered the statistical tables and associated maps, and proved confusing to data users. In other situations, the unorganized area consisted of several discontiguous pieces of unnamed territory which posed problems in the decennial census data presentations. In 1970, the Census Bureau simplified its coverage of these areas by establishing a standard geographic entity, the UT, for data presentation purposes. By establishing UTs, the Census Bureau was able to simplify the nomenclature and improve the geographic pattern by using a smaller, more manageable number of subcounty entities.

The Census Subareas of Alaska

In its statistical presentations, the Census Bureau has used a variety of administrative and governmental units to subdivide Alaska. The present set of primary and secondary geographic subdivisions dates from the 1970 census when the Census Bureau and State officials cooperatively established *census divisions* and *census subdivisions* as the county and subcounty equivalent geographic entities. In those parts of Alaska covered by boroughs (largearea governmental units with functions and powers similar to counties in the coterminous 48 States), the census divisions usually were the same as the boroughs, although in a few instances they included adjacent military reservations. In the remainder of the State, the *unorganized borough* (the legal term for the area outside of any borough), the Census Bureau and State officials delineated census subdivisions to generally follow the boundaries of the State's election districts. The census subdivision level served to identify boroughs and military reservations within census divisions. One of the census divisions derived from the unorganized borough was divided into two portions, each a census subdivision.

The Census Bureau and State officials adjusted the census division and census subdivision boundaries for the 1980 census. The borough-based census divisions were then referred to as *boroughs*, the remaining census divisions were renamed *census areas*, and all the census subdivisions were renamed *census subareas*. Many of the former census divisions were split or merged to conform to the boundaries of the recently established Alaska Native Regional Corporations (ANRCs).³ In some cases the boroughs and census areas were subdivided into census subareas by using the boundaries of the ANRCs, significant military reservations, and the 1970 census divisions. Most of the 1980 CSAs remained unchanged for 1990, except for those in parts of the State in which new boroughs and new census areas had been established.

The Boundary and Annexation Survey

In 1972, the Census Bureau initiated the Boundary and Annexation Survey (BAS) program. The BAS, repeated periodically, collects information about the legal characteristics, territories annexed or detached, and boundaries of all counties, MCDs, and incorporated places. The BAS program supplanted the previous practice of obtaining local maps showing the legal boundaries, either at the time of the actual enumeration, or shortly before the decennial census date. The BAS, a more systematic, continuing effort, has brought major improvements in the accuracy and timeliness of the Census Bureau's inventory of geographic entities. It is now the standard source for ascertaining the existence and legal status of governmental units such as counties, incorporated places, and MCDs; it also identifies any changes in their names or boundaries. By means of the BAS, the Census Bureau can detect important developments, such as MCD boundary changes, the formation of new

MCDs, the merger or consolidation of MCDs, and the disorganization (dissolution) of MCDs. For further information on the BAS, refer to Chapter 9, "Places."

Establishing and Maintaining County Subdivisions

The Census Bureau attempts to maintain a set of county subdivisions that are, geographically speaking, relatively stable from one decennial census to another. In the 28 MCD States, the Census Bureau always revises the county subdivisions to reflect boundary or status changes resulting from legal or administrative actions. At the time of each BAS, the Census Bureau considers local recommendations about the boundaries and areas of any UTs that might be required to complete the MCD coverage of a State.

The establishment of CCDs in a State is a cooperative effort between the Census Bureau and State authorities. The first step usually is an expression of interest on the part of State officials and local data users, followed by consultations to determine if there are legal or constitutional requirements for maintaining the existing MCDs. The Census Bureau does not compel a State to replace its MCDs with CCDs; the decision always rests with the appropriate State officials and ultimately with the State governor.

Criteria for Minor Civil Divisions

In the 28 MCD States, the Census Bureau uses the existing legal entities as the standard county subdivision framework. To do this, it selects the type of subcounty unit—or in a few instances, more than one type—that (1) is a legally defined entity, (2) provides complete or nearly complete geographic coverage, and (3) has geographic stability.

Local governmental and administrative units Many MCDs function as general-purpose local governments; that is, they provide a wide range of public services to the inhabitants of a specific subcounty area. Almost all of these local governments are active and functioning; however, others may have an inactive status as governmental units, yet still constitute legal entities. In States that have no local governmental units below the county

level, or where incorporated places are the only form of local government, the Census Bureau uses the most important and best known type of administrative subdivision; for example, election precincts or magisterial districts. Table 8-3 provides further detail about the governmental status of county subdivisions in the 28 MCD States.

Table 8-3. Governmental Status of Minor Civil Divisions in 1990

Arkansas	The townships are nonfunctioning geographic subdivisions of counties and are not governments.
Connecticut	Of the 169 towns, 149 are actively functioning governmental units. The remaining 20 towns are areally coextensive with a single incorporated place; 19 towns are coextensive with a city and one town is coextensive with a borough. In each of these 20 instances, the town and city governments are consolidated, and the Census Bureau classifies the incorporated place gov- ernment as the active government.
Illinois	Of the 1,434 townships, all but one are actively functioning gov- ernmental units. The exception is Cicero township coextensive with the city of Cicero, which performs the functions of the township as well. The election precincts are nonfunctioning geographic subdivisions of the county used in conducting elec- tions and are not governments.
Indiana	All townships are actively functioning governmental units.
lowa	Through an agreement between the State of Iowa and the Census Bureau, all townships are classified as nonfunctioning geographic subdivisions of the county and are not governments. Iowa town- ships can, and some do, perform a limited governmental function, but the township officials for the most part are administrative adjuncts of the county government.
Kansas	Of the 1,602 townships, 1,543 are actively functioning govern- mental units. The remaining 59 townships are inactive, but have the ability to activate and perform governmental functions.
Louisiana	The parish governing authority districts in Louisiana are nonfunc- tioning geographic subdivisions of the county used in conducting elections and are not governments.
Maine	All 433 towns and 36 plantations are actively functioning govern- mental units. The single gore is a nonfunctioning geographic sub- division of the county and not a government. The three American Indian reservations are functioning tribal governments; the MCD reservations are not counted as governments.

Table 8-3. (cont.)		
Maryland	The election and assessment districts are nonfunctioning geo- graphic subdivisions of the county used in conducting elections and levying taxes, respectively, and are not governments.	
Massachusetts	All towns are actively functioning governmental units.	
Michigan	All townships are actively functioning governmental units.	
Minnesota	All townships are actively functioning governmental units.	
Mississippi	The supervisors' districts are nonfunctioning geographic sub- divisions of the county that are districts from which voters elect county supervisors and are not governments.	
Missouri	There are 23 counties containing 324 actively functioning town- ships. In the remaining 91 counties, there are 1,043 townships which are nonfunctioning geographic subdivisions of counties and are not governments.	
Nebraska	Of the 469 townships, 453 are actively functioning governmental units. The remaining 16 townships are inactive, but have the ability to activate and perform governmental functions. The election precincts are nonfunctioning geographic subdivisions of the county used in conducting elections and are not governments.	
New Hampshire	Of the 222 towns, all but one are actively functioning govern- mental units. The remaining town, Livermore in Grafton County, is inactive, but it has the ability to activate and perform govern- mental functions. The grants, locations, and purchases are non- functioning geographic subdivisions of the county and are not governments.	
New Jersey	All townships are actively functioning governmental units.	
New York	Of the 932 towns, all but three are actively functioning govern- mental units. Each of the remaining three towns is areally coexten- sive with a single incorporated village (East Rochester in Monroe County, and Mount Kisco and Scarsdale in Westchester County). In each of these instances, the town and village governments are consolidated, and the Census Bureau classifies the incorporated place government as the active government. The three American Indian reservations are functioning tribal governments; the MCD reservations are not counted as governments. The five boroughs are classified as nonfunctioning geographic areas at the MCD level and not as governments.	

Table 8-3. (cont.)

- North CarolinaThe townships are nonfunctioning geographic subdivisions of
counties and are not governments.North DakotaOf the 1,352 townships, all but one are actively functioning gov-
commentation to the present of the second se
 - ernmental units. The remaining township, Fargo in Cass County, is inactive, but it has the ability to activate and perform governmental functions.
- Ohio Of the 1,318 townships, all but one are actively functioning governmental units. The remaining township, Wayne in Montgomery County, is inactive, but it has the ability to activate and perform governmental functions.
- Pennsylvania Of the 1,549 townships, all but one are actively functioning governmental units. The remaining township, Cold Spring in Lebanon County, is inactive, but it has the ability to activate and perform governmental functions. The single road district, East Fork in Potter County, also is an actively functioning government.
- Rhode Island All towns are actively functioning governmental units.
- South Dakota All townships are actively functioning governmental units.
- Vermont Of the 242 towns, all but five are actively functioning governmental units. The five remaining towns (Glastenbury in Bennington County, Averill, Ferdinand, and Lewis in Essex County, and Somerset in Windham County) are inactive, but they have the ability to activate and perform governmental functions. The gores and grant are nonfunctioning geographic subdivisions of the county and are not governments.
- Virginia The magisterial districts are nonfunctioning geographic subdivisions of the county used in conducting elections or recording land ownership, and are not governments. Arlington County is not divided into magisterial districts; the Census Bureau assigns the area of the county to a single, nongovernmental pseudo-MCD representing the county.
- West Virginia The magisterial districts are nonfunctioning geographic subdivisions of the county from which voters elect county commissioners and members of the school boards.
- Wisconsin Of the 1,267 towns, all but one are actively functioning governmental units. The remaining town, Menominee, is coextensive with Menominee County. The town and county governments are consolidated, and the Census Bureau classifies the county as the active government.

Complete geographic coverage The Census Bureau requires that the MCDs encompass as much of the geographic area of a State as possible. Where the MCD coverage is incomplete, the Census Bureau attempts to supplement the MCD coverage with an entity or entities that are MCD equivalents. There are a few States where the Census Bureau must use more than one type of MCD; for example, in Illinois it uses 1,434 townships and 243 election precincts (see Table 8-2). In the portions of MCD States where no MCDs exist, the Census Bureau establishes UTs (see the "Unorganized Territories" section in this chapter).

Geographic stability The Census Bureau prefers that the MCDs in a State remain relatively stable from one decennial census to another, with only minor changes in their boundaries and areas. If there are massive or wide-spread changes, the geographic pattern of subcounty governmental units or administrative subdivisions is disrupted and the historical comparability of the data is impaired. When that happens, the Census Bureau may encourage the appropriate State officials to consider replacing the MCDs with CCDs.

Criteria for MCD Equivalents

The Census Bureau recognizes two types of geographic entities that, for statistical purposes, are equivalent to MCDs—independent incorporated places and UTs. Although these two classes of entities are not, strictly speaking, MCDs, the Census Bureau treats them as MCDs in order to include all of a State's population and land area within the county subdivision level for data presentation purposes. In addition, there are anomalous situations in which miscellaneous types of geographic entities are equivalent to MCDs (see the "Miscellaneous Entities" section in this chapter).

Independent incorporated places These are incorporated places with governments that function independently from the jurisdiction of the surrounding MCD or MCDs. They do not receive governmental services from any MCD, except when they undertake to contract for such services. Their inhabitants do not pay taxes to any MCD, nor do they vote

in any MCD elections. The independent incorporated places in a State constitute a separate type of county subdivision apart from its MCDs. Of the 28 MCD States, there are 20 with such MCD equivalents. At the time of the 1990 census, only 19 States had independent incorporated places. An additional State, North Carolina, now has independent incorporated places as well. Usually these independent incorporated places are cities; however, in some States they also are boroughs, towns, and villages (see Table 8-2).

Also independent of MCDs are those incorporated places that are independent of any county; the Census Bureau refers to these as *independent cities*. The Census Bureau treats the entire independent city as a single entity that is equivalent to both a county and an MCD. Virginia has 41 independent cities; Maryland and Missouri each have 1 (see Chapter 4, "States, Counties, and Statistically Equivalent Entities," for details).

Unorganized territories Some counties in nine MCD States (Arkansas, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, North Carolina, North Dakota, and South Dakota) contain unorganized areas, areas that never had, or no longer possess, any governmental or administrative organization similar to the other MCDs in that State. Such areas have no legal name, legal status, or legal boundaries (except where bounded by MCD limits or a county line); the county and/or State provides the governmental functions for their residents.

The Census Bureau uses the term *unorganized territory* to identify such areas, and has developed a standard approach to simplify the presentation of data for them in the tabulations from the decennial censuses. The Census Bureau delineates each contiguous unorganized area as at least one UT. Larger areas are divided into more than one UT using physical features as boundaries. Each separate area thus is recognized as a UT, and may be named for a former MCD, a large settlement, or a physical feature—depending on which name best describes the area. In counties with several geographically discontiguous pieces of unorganized area, each piece is given an easily recognizable name usually based on its location within

the county; for example, *Central Hancock unorg.* and *East Hancock unorg.* These measures make it easier for data users to refer to these areas on maps and in the Census Bureau's data presentations.

Miscellaneous entities The Census Bureau classifies a few other geographic entities as MCD equivalents. It treats the District of Columbia as an entity statistically equivalent to a State, and as a single county equivalent with the same name. The District of Columbia also is coextensive with the incorporated place of Washington city. The Census Bureau uses a single MCD, also called Washington, to represent the same geographic area recognized as the city, county equivalent, and State equivalent. Arlington County, Virginia, represents a similar situation, where no MCD exists within the county area. The Census Bureau recognizes a *pseudo MCD* to cover the entire county-level area and gives it the same name as the county.

In the TIGER data base, there exists water area within the Atlantic Ocean and Great Lakes that is not assigned to any land MCD. The Census Bureau assigns these waters to an MCD entity identified by a code of *000*, and includes the measurement figures for them as part of the county total. No other data are published for these entities.

Criteria for Census County Divisions

The purpose of CCDs is to provide a set of subcounty units that (1) have community orientation; (2) have visible, stable boundaries; (3) conform to groupings of census tracts or block numbering areas (BNAs); and (4) have a recognizable name.

Community orientation Each CCD should be focused on one or more communities or places, and take in the additional surrounding territory that is served by these in some fashion. The definition of community takes into account factors such as production, marketing, consumption, and the integrating factor of local institutions. This criterion is an application of the *functional integration principle* that the Census Bureau uses to create some geographic statistical entities (for details, refer to Chapter 2).

The place on which a CCD is centered usually is an incorporated place or a census designated place (CDP). Ideally, it should never subdivide such entities; when it must, as much of the place as possible should be one CCD. In some cases, the CCD is centered on a major area of significantly different land use or ownership, such as a large military base or American Indian reservation; in other situations, it can represent an area that is physiographically different from the rest of the county. A CCD should always consist of a single geographic piece that is relatively compact in shape.

Visible, stable boundaries The criteria for CCD boundary features are the same as those for census blocks, census tracts, and BNAs (for details, see Chapter 10, "Census Tracts and Block Numbering Areas" and Chapter 11, "Census Blocks and Block Groups"). A CCD should have easily locatable boundaries that seldom change. They should be readily discernable in the field and easy to depict on the Census Bureau's maps. They should follow physical features, such as highways, roads, railroads, rivers, streams, power transmission lines, trails, or mountain ridges. A few kinds of non-physical features are used; for example, county lines always are CCD boundaries. In certain situations it is permissible to use *point-to-point lines* (comparatively short projected lines between two definite points); also permissible are conjoint city limits (a common boundary between two contiguous incorporated places). As a result of these guidelines, the CCD boundaries identify a stable set of geographic entities that allows the data user to make historical comparisons at the county subdivision level.

Groupings of census tracts and BNAs The geographic area of a CCD, or the community or place on which it is centered, almost always fits within the existing census tracts or BNAs. A CCD usually consists of one or a combination of contiguous census tracts or BNAs. It seldom subdivides a census tract or BNA. The result is a geographic pattern of county subdivisions wherein the data user can relate the CCDs to their smaller geographic components. **Easily recognizable names** The CCD name usually is the same as that of the largest population center or place within it. Sometimes the name represents the two largest centers; for example, *Bayard-Santa Rita*. In some situations, the CCD may be named after a prominent physical feature (*Castle Rock, Cripple Creek, Mount Baldy*) or a distinctive region within the county (*Death Valley, Everglades, Lower Keys, Tellico Plains*). In many cases, a CCD name consists of the county or focal place name together with a cardinal direction indicating the portion of the county or area relative to the place covered by the CCD. If a county name (for example, *Union*) identifies a CCD, the directional indicator usually precedes it, as in *Northwest Union*. If a place name is used, the directional indicator follows it; for example, *Smithville North*. In all cases, the objective is to clearly identify the area of the CCD by means of an area name; CCD names always should be meaningful to data users.

Revisions to Existing CCDs

The Census Bureau does not encourage State or local officials to make major updates or revisions to their CCDs. This policy reflects the desire for a set of stable subcounty entities that allows data comparability from census to census. However, updates and revisions may be necessary in some instances; in these cases, the changes are made as part of the geographic work undertaken in preparation for a decennial census. If data users within a county organize a Census Statistical Areas Committee (CSAC) and develop census tracts, the CCDs usually must consist of one or more census tracts or nest within a census tract. Where existing census tracts undergo splits, mergers, or boundary relocations, and where such changes affect a CCD boundary, the CCD boundary must be adjusted to conform to the revised census tract boundaries. As part of the preparations for each decennial census, the Census Bureau provides guidelines to the participants in the census tract delineation program for making such changes (see Chapter 3, "Local Census Statistical Areas Committees and Other Local Assistance").

Regional Variations in Types of MCDs

Because of historical, political, legal, and economic factors, the MCDs in different States are not always comparable units in terms of their governmental powers, legal status, and administrative significance. Moreover, terms such as *town, township,* or *district,* have different meanings in different parts of the United States.

The Northeastern States

In the New England and Middle Atlantic States, the primary subdivisions of counties generally are called towns or townships. Most of these towns and townships are actively functioning units of local government and are very well known locally. Although not classified as incorporated places in the decennial census, they are legally incorporated units and have most or all the powers of incorporated places. Because of this strong functional aspect, the Census Bureau usually provides the same data tables for these MCDs as it provides for places, and also tables where MCDs and places are intermixed.

New England towns In Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont, the towns are different from the incorporated places called towns in most other States. Outside of New England, the term *town* usually refers to a built-up settlement or population cluster intermediate in size and governmental power between a city and a village. By contrast, the New England towns were established initially to provide government to an area rather than a specific concentration of population. Many New England towns are from 20 to 50 square miles in size, and often contain rural territory as well as one or more population concentrations. Therefore, the settlement pattern of many New England towns, except in the vicinities of the larger cities, more closely resembles that of the townships in many other States.

In New England, the towns and cities, not the county, serve as the basic units of local government. Since their establishment in the 17th century, many towns have elected their governing officials and managed their local affairs. The county was merely a grouping of towns, established primarily for judicial and penal purposes, and had minimal political significance. Connecticut abolished its county governments in 1960; the counties in Connecticut and Rhode Island serve only as administrative subdivisions of those States.

Relationship of towns to incorporated places in New England All incorporated places in Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire and Rhode Island are cities that are independent of any town. All incorporated places (cities and boroughs) in Connecticut are dependent on the town in which they are located. One borough and all but one of the State's twenty cities are coextensive with a single town, and exercise the governmental powers of both an MCD and an incorporated place in a single elected governmental body. The incorporated places in Vermont are either cities, all of which are independent of MCDs, or villages, all of which are dependent. Unlike Connecticut, none of the dependent villages in Vermont coincide with a town.

Other types of MCDs and MCD equivalent entities in New England In addition to towns in Maine, the plantations are actively functioning governmental units. There also are three Federally recognized American Indian reservations in Maine that are independent of any other MCD and that the Census Bureau treats as the statistical equivalent of MCDs. In addition, there are portions of ten Maine counties in which the Census Bureau has established UTs as the statistical equivalent of MCDs. The gores in Maine and Vermont, grants in New Hampshire and Vermont, and locations, survey townships, and purchases in New Hampshire are all nonfunctioning areal units; these kinds of entities occur in less populous areas.

MCDs in the Middle Atlantic States The primary MCDs in New York are called towns; in New Jersey and Pennsylvania, they are called townships. These MCDs share some of the legal and geographic attributes of the New England towns in that they all are significant, active, functioning governmental units (except for one inactive township in Pennsylvania). However, there are two major differences: (1) counties in the Middle Atlantic States

have greater governmental and administrative significance than in New England, and (2) the local inhabitants do not always perceive the MCD as constituting a single community. An illustration of the somewhat weaker community identification of MCDs in the Middle Atlantic States is the large number of separately incorporated places (nearly 2,000) and CDPs (about 800) in these three States. Although some of these separate incorporated places have the same name as their parent MCD, the majority bear the names of other communities. For example, of the approximately 1,000 incorporated places in Pennsylvania, only about 200 have a name related to their parent MCD and, in some of these situations, it is the parent MCD that was named after the place.

New York has two other types of census subcounty reporting units. All Federally and State-recognized American Indian reservations outside the boundaries of cities are separate from any town, and the Census Bureau reports data for these lands as MCD equivalents. Also, the Census Bureau treats the five boroughs that constitute New York city as MCD equivalents. Pennsylvania contains one road district—East Fork district in Potter County—that also is an actively functioning government.

Relationship of incorporated places to MCDs in the Middle Atlantic States In New Jersey and Pennsylvania, all incorporated places are independent of townships and form primary subdivisions of their counties. These incorporated places are the cities, towns, and boroughs found in both States, along with the villages in New Jersey. The relationship between incorporated places and MCDs in New York is slightly different—all incorporated cities are independent of any MCD, but all incorporated villages are dependent on the towns in which they are located. A major exception is the city of New York, which consists of five nonfunctioning MCD-level boroughs, one borough for each county that makes up the city. Elsewhere in the State, five villages each are coextensive with a single town (see Table 8-4). In three of these villages (East Rochester, Mount Kisco, and Scarsdale), the residents elect a single set of government officials to perform the functions of both the town and the village.

The Midwest

The MCDs of the 12 Midwestern States evolved from the township and range system of survey townships. These survey townships, in turn, provided the geographic basis for organizing units of local government, which were called *civil townships*. Many civil townships consist of a single survey township. The MCDs of 11 Midwestern States use the term townships; Wisconsin uses the term town. Starting with the 1990 census, the Census Bureau also recognizes, as a separate category, charter townships in Michigan.

These MCDs, for the most part, perform less of a governmental role and are less well known locally than their counterparts in the Northeast and the Middle Atlantic States. There are exceptions-the charter townships of Michigan, the urban townships of Minnesota, and the towns of Wisconsin—all of which have the legal capacity to provide all the governmental services associated with incorporated places. In most of the other Midwestern States, the primary governmental function of township governments is the building and/or maintenance of the local roads and bridges; however, some townships, particularly in Illinois, Kansas, and Ohio, may provide fire protection, refuse disposal, libraries, cemeteries, hospitals, zoning regulation, and other types of services. In Missouri, only 23 counties have townships that are local governmental units; the 91 other counties have townships that cannot raise taxes for general-purpose government and thus are classified as nonfunctioning areal units. In Iowa, the governmental functions of the townships are so minimal that they are not recognized as general-purpose governments for the Census Bureau's Census of Governments.

MCD equivalents In most of Nebraska, and in 17 counties in southern and central Illinois, the survey townships never developed local governments. In these areas, the election precincts, generally based on survey townships, serve as MCDs. There also are significant areas of Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota, as well as one area in Iowa and one in Kansas, that have no MCDs. In these areas, the Census Bureau has established UTs to provide statewide coverage at the county subdivision level. **Dependent and independent incorporated places** The Midwest has many incorporated places, and their relationship to MCDs varies from one State to another. In North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, all incorporated places are independent of MCDs, as are 842 of the 854 incorporated places in Minnesota. All places are dependent on MCDs in Illinois, Indiana, and Missouri, except for Chicago, which consists of two MCD equivalents, and St. Louis, which is an independent city. In the remaining States, some places are dependent, others are independent. In Iowa, 52 of the 953 incorporated places are independent of MCDs, in Kansas 123 of 627, in Michigan 272 of 534, in Nebraska 79 of 535, and in Ohio 218 of 941.

Coextensive incorporated places and MCDs In Illinois, Iowa, and Ohio, many of the larger incorporated places are legally coextensive with a single township. There are 19 such coextensive city-township combinations in Illinois, and in all but one case, the township has a separate government that is distinct from the one for the place. Based on an agreement between the Census Bureau and the States of Iowa and Ohio, the Census Bureau does not include the township in decennial census data tabulations when an incorporated place and a township coincide. This makes it easier to find the place in census listings and simplifies the gathering of MCD information through the BAS, since State and local governments tend not to recognize the existence of many of these nonfunctional townships. Nebraska has ten election precincts that are coextensive with a single incorporated place, and Illinois has five. Missouri has four nonfunctional townships coextensive with a single incorporated place.

MCDs in Seven Southern States

In Arkansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia, the Census Bureau considers other types of entities as MCD county subdivisions. These MCDs are administrative or geographic entities and do not function as local governments. In these States, the only functioning governments below the county level are incorporated places; outside of the incorporated places, the county or State government provides services to the residents of these MCDs. The townships in Arkansas and North Carolina have no functions except that some serve as districts for the election of county officials or as areas for recording property information. The MCDs in Virginia and West Virginia, called magisterial districts, are areas for the election of representatives (supervisors in Virginia, commissioners in West Virginia) to the county government. The supervisor's districts in Mississippi serve a similar purpose. The MCDs of Louisiana and most of Maryland are units used for conducting elections within the county. In Louisiana these are parish governing authority districts; in Maryland they are election districts, except for Anne Arundel County in which the MCDs are called assessment districts and used for taxation purposes. In all the above situations, the legal description is shortened to *district* in the Census Bureau's data tabulations.

MCD equivalents Two counties in Arkansas and two in North Carolina have territory that is not within any township. There the Census Bureau established UTs. The same situation applied to one parish in Louisiana where a portion of territory was not part of any parish governing authority district.

Relationships of incorporated places to MCDs Virtually all incorporated places in Arkansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia are dependent on their MCDs. The exceptions are the 41 independent cities of Virginia and the independent city of Baltimore, Maryland; for Census Bureau data reporting purposes, these entities are statistically equivalent to counties, and each is equivalent to an MCD as well. Also, New Orleans city, which is coextensive with Orleans Parish, is not subdivided into districts and thus is considered a place independent of any district. Arkansas has one incorporated place that is coextensive with a single township; West Virginia has three incorporated places, each of which is coextensive with a single magisterial district (see Table 8-4 for more detail). Several places in North Carolina have become independent of the surrounding townships since the 1990 census.

Identification of County Subdivisions in the 1990 Census Treatment of MCDs in the BAS

The Census Bureau updates its inventory of MCDs based on results of the BAS, its periodic survey of all counties, along with specified MCDs and incorporated places. In each year from 1981 through 1987, county officials (and, occasionally, State or regional officials) provided information to the Census Bureau about correct names, legal (or governmental) descriptions, and legal boundaries of MCDs. In 1988 and 1990, the BAS obtained this information directly from the officials of MCDs that had actively functioning governments. Where the MCDs were administrative subdivisions rather than functioning local governments, the BAS asked that county officials provide this information.

The BAS mailout to local governments includes maps showing the latest boundaries in the Census Bureau's digital geographic data base, the Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing (TIGER) data base. The Census Bureau uses the information that the local officials provide to update its files for the decennial census; these BAS responses also are used to prepare for the population estimates program, the Census of Governments, and other surveys and programs.

Name and legal status The name of an MCD is its unique legal identifier; the legal (or governmental) area description, also called legal status, of an MCD is a generic category dictated by State law regarding subcounty units. It is the specific term that describes the type of MCD, such as town, township, magisterial district, and election precinct. On a nationwide basis, these terms are descriptive and not functional. *(Town* in one State may mean something different in another State.) In census data tables, the name of the MCD usually precedes its legal description, as in *Smith township*. Exceptions may occur, as in *Township 6, Maguffin*, where *Township* is the legal description of the MCD and the number *6* and *Maguffin* constitute portions of the name. The BAS includes a form showing the Census Bureau's most current information on the name and legal description for

each entity. By filling out the BAS questionnaire, the respondent provides the latest information on the legal name and description of the MCD.

Boundaries The MCD boundaries used in the 1990 census were those legally in effect on January 1, 1990. The Census Bureau asks each BAS respondent if there were any boundary changes, and if so, to draw them on the maps provided. It also asks the MCD or county official to sign a statement certifying that the boundaries depicted on the map are shown correctly.

Update of Unorganized Territories

During the 1980s, some MCDs disorganized; that is, they lost their legal status as organized units of local government and reverted to the status of unorganized area. By contrast, some other areas that had been UTs in 1980 became organized units of local government. Because of these disorganizations and organizations, the Census Bureau had to update its geographic inventory. New UTs were identified, some existing UTs were combined or split, and there were boundary revisions to ensure that UT boundaries continued to follow visible features.

Revision of CCDs

For the most part, the revisions made to CCDs in preparation for the 1990 census were minor. There were, however, some significant changes as a result of the establishment of census tracts and BNAs. The Census Bureau encouraged the local CSACs and the State coordinators to use the 1980 CCD boundaries as part of the new census tract or BNA framework wherever possible. In some instances, new 1990 census tracts or BNAs were deline-ated without regard to previously existing CCD boundaries. The Census Bureau then revised or totally redelineated CCD boundaries for the 1990 census to coincide with the new census tract/BNA boundaries.

Geographic Identification Codes

The Census Bureau uses a system of geographic identification codes—geocodes—to identify every geographic entity for which it reports data.

Geocodes are basic components of the TIGER data base and the geographic reference files that the Census Bureau develops and maintains to process the results of its censuses and sample surveys. Together with the TIGER data base, these files form the basis for the tabulation and dissemination of the collected data in their proper geographic entity. Geocodes obviate the need to relate data to geographic entities by name only; instead, the Census Bureau's processing operations associate data with the geocodes that are surrogates for the names of geographic entities.

In addition to the Census Bureau's MCD/CCD code (discussed later in this section), there are other geocodes that are part of the Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) system, developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and now maintained by the U.S. Geological Survey. (The Census Bureau's MCD/CCD code scheme is not part of the FIPS code scheme.) The FIPS 55 system identifies named entities in the United States, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands of the United States, and the Pacific Outlying Areas. The scheme features a two-digit numeric State code and a five-digit numeric *locality code* that uniquely identify each named entities by means of a two-character *class code*, consisting of a leading alphabetic character and a number. There are 11 different class codes applicable to county subdivisions; in combination about each county subdivision.

The FIPS 55 locality codes identify governmentally functioning MCDs within a numeric range from 00001 to 89999. The codes in this range also represent incorporated places, CDPs, and Alaska Native and American Indian areas, together with other entities not included in the tabulations of the decennial census, such as named localities, military installations, and National Parks. All these entity names are combined and listed in a single alphabetic sequence. The FIPS code range, 90000 to 98999, is reserved for CCDs and nonfunctioning MCDs where they cover whole States, whole counties, or their statistically equivalent entities. The FIPS 55 locality codes together with the FIPS 55 class codes provide a unique identifier for the

MCDs, UTs, and CCDs within each State. The FIPS 55 class codes most commonly used to identify county subdivisions are the following:

- T1 (governmentally active MCD not coextensive with an incorporated place)
- Z1 (governmentally inactive or nonfunctioning MCD)
- Z3 (unorganized territory)
- Z5 (CCD or CSA)

For its censuses from 1960 to 1990, the Census Bureau established a series of *MCD/CCD codes* to identify and alphabetize all county subdivisions within each county. These are three-digit numeric identifiers, usually gapped at intervals of five (such as *005, 010, 015*) that serve to organize the county subdivision names alphabetically. To identify any county subdivision, it is necessary to use not only its MCD/CCD code, but also the State code and the county code. Because the MCD code is unique only within county (for instance, the code 005 generally is repeated in every county), it is necessary to use State and county codes as well to uniquely identify MCDs/CCDs on a nationwide basis. The Census Bureau published both census and FIPS codes for all entities appearing in its 1990 data products. For the year 2000, however, the FIPS codes—State, county, locality, and Alaska Native/American Indian area—will be the only geocodes used in census data products.

Treatment of MCDs and Places in the Data Tables

The Census Bureau treats incorporated places as either dependent on, or independent of, the MCDs in which they are located. (All CDPs are considered dependent on the county subdivision in which they are located, and all places are dependent on CCDs.) In the hierarchical data tables, dependent places are indented under the name of the MCD/CCD in which they are located, and the count for each dependent place is included in that MCD/CCD total. In some MCD States, all incorporated places are independent; in others, all incorporated places are dependent. Still other MCD States contain both independent and dependent places. Figures 8-2 and 8-3 illustrate how the Census Bureau treats a dependent and an independent incorporated place in its data presentations. Table 8-4 identifies the legal relationship of each State's incorporated places, whether they are dependent on, or independent of, their county subdivisions.

Status as of the 1990 Census

The 1990 decennial census reported data for 35,298 county subdivisions, a net increase of 103 from 1980. The single most dramatic change—140 new county subdivisions in Louisiana—resulted from the replacement of the 487 police jury wards with 627 parish governing authority districts. Elsewhere, Arkansas lost 43 townships because of consolidations, West Virginia lost 33 magisterial districts as a result of redistricting, and South Dakota lost 31 townships because of disorganizations. The 57 townships in Nevada were replaced by 67 CCDs. Apart from the new CCDs in Nevada, there were few changes in the number of CCDs. Three States (Kentucky, Montana, and New Mexico) gained a single new CCD, and one CCD in Utah was consolidated with an existing CCD.

Other sizeable changes in the number of county subdivisions (29 new entities) occurred in the category of independent incorporated places. The increase resulted from new incorporations, annexations into additional counties by existing places (thus creating new MCD equivalents), and a few dependent places becoming independent. There also was a decrease of 13 plantations in Maine, most of which became towns. The disorganization of some MCDs, coupled with the identification of additional areas as being outside of nonfunctioning MCDs, resulted in a net increase of nine unorganized territories.

Relationships to Other Geographic Entities

Figures 2-1 and 2-2 in Chapter 2 illustrate, in generalized fashion, the position of county subdivisions in the Census Bureau's geographic hierarchy. This section discusses the geographic relationships in the 50 States; for information on Puerto Rico and the Outlying Areas, refer to Chapter 7.

Counties and County Equivalents

County subdivisions nest within counties and statistically equivalent entities and constitute complete coverage of all their area and population. Where an incorporated place that is independent of any MCD exists in two counties, the Census Bureau considers each part of the place as a separate county subdivision, even though the place itself is a single governmental unit. The same situation occurs with American Indian reservations in New York State; where reservations cross county lines, the Census Bureau considers the part in each county a separate MCD.

Figure 8-2. County With an Incorporated Place Governmentally Independent of Any MCD





Example 2 : Same Geographic Areas in
Tabular Form

Area	Population
County	6,000
MCD 1	500
Place A	4,000
MCD 2	500
MCD 3	500
MCD 4	500

Example I illustrates the case of a county that contains an independent place. That is, the incorporated place *is not* governmentally subordinate to the surrounding MCDs; rather, it is independent of these MCDs. In this situation, the MCDs *stop* at the limits of the incorporated place, and the tabular presentation lists five pieces of geography as county subdivisions—four MCDs and one incorporated place.

The data for the MCDs *exclude* the data for the incorporated place they border, as shown in Example 2. Furthermore, any change in the boundaries of the incorporated place will change both the territory of, and the data for, the adjacent MCDs. The Census Bureau treats the incorporated place both as a pseudo MCD and as a place in its data tabulations.

Figure 8-3. County With an Incorporated Place Governmentally Dependent on Its MCD

Example I: Geographic Areas Depicted on a Census Bureau Map



Example 2 : Same Geographic Areas
in Tabular Form

Area	Population
County	6,000
MCD 1	1,500
Place A <i>(part)</i>	1,000
MCD 2	1,500
Place A <i>(part)</i>	1,000
MCD 3	1,500
Place A <i>(part)</i>	1,000
MCD 4	1,500
Place A <i>(part)</i>	1,000

Example I illustrates the case of a county that contains a dependent place. That is, the incorporated place is governmentally subordinate to, or dependent upon, the MCDs in which it is located. In this situation, the MCD boundaries subdivide the incorporated place, the place includes territory in more than a single MCD, and the data for each MCD include the data for every incorporated place and every part of an incorporated place it contains.

The tabular presentation lists the data for the entirety of each of the four MCDs, as shown in Example 2. The MCDs include the appropriate portion of the data for the contained incorporated place as a subtotal of the MCD total. With this type of governmental structure, changes in the boundaries of the incorporated place do not change the boundaries of, or the data for, the MCDs.

Table 8-4. Relationship of Incorporated Places to County Subdivisions in 1990

Alabama	Dependent
Alaska	Dependent ; four cities—Anchorage, Juneau, Sitka, and Skagway—are coextensive with a single census subarea.
Arizona	Dependent
Arkansas	Dependent ; one town (Tollette) is coextensive with a single township.
California	Dependent ; one city (San Francisco) is coextensive with a single census county division (CCD) and county.
Colorado	Dependent ; one city (Denver) is coextensive with a CCD and county.
Connecticut	Dependent ; one borough (Naugatuck) and all but one city (Groton) are coextensive with a single town. Milford is a consolidated city containing the separate incorporated place of Woodmont borough.
Delaware	Dependent
District of Columbia	<i>Independent</i> ; the city of Washington is treated as a single <i>coextensive</i> minor civil division (MCD).
Florida	Dependent ; the consolidated city of Jacksonville is coextensive with a single CCD and county.
Georgia	Dependent ; the consolidated city of Columbus is coextensive with a single CCD and county.
Hawaii	No incorporated places; by agreement with State officials, the Census Bureau recognizes areas of concentrated settlement as census desig- nated places (CDPs) for the decennial censuses of population/housing.
Idaho	Dependent
Illinois	Dependent , except for the city of Chicago, which is <i>independent</i> of any township, creating two MCDs (one in each county in which Chicago is located); 19 cities—Alton, Belleville, Berwyn, Bloomington, Champaign, Cicero, East St. Louis, Evanston, Freeport, Galesburg, Granite City, Macomb, Oak Park, Peoria, Quincy, River Forest, Urbana, Warsaw, and Zion—are <i>coextensive</i> with a single township; 3 cities (Cairo, Golconda, and Petersburg) and 2 villages (Hecker and Valmeyer) are <i>coextensive</i> with a single election precinct.
Indiana	Dependent
Iowa	There are 901 <i>dependent</i> cities; 52 cities are <i>independent</i> of any township, creating 53 MCDs; most incorporated places shown as <i>independent</i> of any township are legally <i>coextensive</i> with a township that is nonfunctioning and generally not recognized by local officials; as agreed to by the State government, these townships are not identified in decennial census publications.

Table 8-4. (cont.)

Kansas	There are 504 <i>dependent</i> cities; 123 cities are <i>independent</i> of any township, creating 129 MCDs.
Kentucky	Dependent
Louisiana	Dependent except for the city of New Orleans which is independent of any MCD.
Maine	Independent of any MCD; 22 cities creating 22 MCDs.
Maryland	Dependent except Baltimore city, which is independent of any county and MCD.
Massachusetts	Independent of any town; 39 cities creating 39 MCDs.
Michigan	There are 262 <i>dependent</i> villages; 272 cities are <i>independent</i> of any township, creating 283 MCDs.
Minnesota	There are 12 <i>dependent</i> cities—Aurora, Beardsley, Calumet, Grand Rapids, Johnson, Kinney, La Prairie, Marble, Nashwauk, Ortonville, Riverton, and Taconite; 842 cities are <i>independent</i> of any township or unorganized territory, creating 880 MCDs.
Mississippi	Dependent
Missouri	Dependent except St. Louis city, which is independent of any county and MCD; four cities—Arnold, Edina, Kimberling City, and Lamar—are coextensive with a single township.
Montana	Dependent
Nebraska	All 392 villages and 64 cities are <i>dependent</i> ; 79 cities are <i>independent</i> of any election precinct or township, creating 81 MCDs.
Nevada	Dependent ; one incorporated place (Carson City) is coextensive with a single CCD and county.
New Hampshire	Independent of any MCD; 13 cities creating 13 MCDs.
New Jersey	<i>Independent</i> ; there are 250 boroughs, 52 cities, 15 towns, and 3 villages creating the same numbers of MCDs.
New Mexico	Dependent
New York	There are 557 <i>dependent</i> villages; 61 cities are <i>independent</i> of any town (creating 62 MCDs) excluding New York city, which is made up of 5 MCD boroughs (one for each county within the city); 5 villages—East Rochester, Green Island, Harrison, Mount Kisco, and Scarsdale—are <i>coextensive</i> with a single town.
North Carolina	Dependent

Table 8-4. (cont.)

North Dakota	<i>Independent</i> of any township or unorganized territory; 366 cities creating 373 MCDs.
Ohio	There are 86 cities and 637 villages that are <i>dependent</i> ; 156 cities and 62 villages are <i>independent</i> of any township creating 171 and 64 MCDs, respectively; 4 incorporated places have a mixed relationship (Colum- bus city is <i>independent</i> in Franklin County, but <i>dependent</i> in Fairfield County; Fostoria city is <i>independent</i> in Seneca and Wood Counties, but <i>dependent</i> in Hancock County; Hunting Valley village is <i>independent</i> in Cuyahoga County, but <i>dependent</i> in Geauga County; Sharonville city is <i>independent</i> in Hamilton County, but <i>dependent</i> in Butler County. Most incorporated places shown as <i>independent</i> of any township are legally <i>coextensive</i> with a township that is nonfunctioning and generally not recognized by local officials; as agreed to by the State government, these townships are not identified in decennial census publications.
Oklahoma	Dependent
Oregon	Dependent
Pennsylvania	<i>Independent</i> of any township or road district; 966 boroughs creating 977 MCDs; 55 cities creating 56 MCDs; one town creating one MCD.
Rhode Island	Independent of any town; eight cities creating eight MCDs.
South Carolina	Dependent
South Dakota	Independent of any township or unorganized territory; 154 cities and one town creating 162 and one MCD, respectively.
Tennessee	Dependent
Texas	Dependent
Utah	Dependent
Vermont	There are 42 <i>dependent</i> villages; 9 cities are <i>independent</i> of any gore, grant, or town creating 9 MCDs.
Virginia	There are 188 <i>dependent</i> towns; 41 cities are <i>independent</i> of any county and magisterial district.
Washington	Dependent
West Virginia	Dependent ; one town (Glenville) and two cities (Moundsville and Williamson) are coextensive with a single magisterial district.
Wisconsin	<i>Independent</i> ; there are 188 cities and 395 villages creating 210 and 417 MCDs, respectively.
Wyoming	Dependent

Places

A place whose territory also is considered to be within the territory of one or more surrounding MCDs is called dependent. The Census Bureau considers all CDPs to be dependent places, whether the county subdivisions are MCDs or CCDs. In the 21 CCD States, the Census Bureau considers all incorporated places to be dependent; the Census Bureau also considers the incorporated places of Alaska to be dependent on the CSAs. Incorporated places in the remaining States, the 28 MCD States, can be either independent of, or dependent on, MCDs since the laws of the States vary. Table 8-4 provides detailed information on the relationships between incorporated places and county subdivisions in each State.

An incorporated place that is independent of an MCD is not considered to be part of any surrounding MCD or MCDs; the Census Bureau treats these independent incorporated places as a type of county subdivision. If an independent incorporated place exists in more than one county or statistically equivalent entity, the Census Bureau considers each county *part* to constitute a unique county subdivision.

Some places are geographically coextensive with an MCD; for example, independent incorporated places, and, in some situations, CDPs. In parts of the United States where MCDs are perceived as communities, such as in the Northeast, it is not uncommon for a CDP to be coextensive with an MCD of the same name.

American Indian and Alaska Native Areas

There is no governmental relationship between county subdivisions and American Indian and Alaska Native areas. Further, it is not necessary for American Indian and Alaska Native areas to conform to the hierarchy of States/counties/county subdivisions. There are exceptions—in Maine and New York, some American Indian reservations are equivalent to MCDs. Elsewhere, the Census Bureau established some CCDs and UTs to be coextensive with, or to follow, the boundaries of American Indian reservations.

Census Tracts and Block Numbering Areas

Several geographic relationships apply throughout the 21 CCD States. The most common pattern is a CCD composed of one or more whole census tracts/BNAs; however, there are many instances where a census tract/BNA consists of two or more CCDs, or more rarely, of one CCD and part of another. In a very few cases, there is no geographic relationship between the two sets of areas.

The MCDs of the counties in the nine northeastern States are both stable geographic entities and well-known units of local government. As a result, they often figure as the geographic basis for census tracts/BNAs. An MCD generally consists of one or more census tracts/BNAs, and the boundaries of census tracts/BNAs usually do not cross the boundaries of any MCD or MCD equivalent.

By contrast, in the midwestern and southern States, the MCD boundaries usually do not coincide with groups of census tracts/BNAs, except where both sets of boundaries follow a physical feature. However, there are some instances where census tract boundaries follow nonvisible MCD lines, because the census tract criteria at one time permitted this situation.

Block Groups and Census Blocks

The 1990 criteria for delineating block groups within census tracts and BNAs allowed block group boundaries to follow nonvisible MCD boundaries only in the northeastern States. When a CCD boundary was not a census tract/BNA boundary, it was preferred as a block group boundary. Where an MCD boundary, or occasionally a CCD boundary, split a physical block, the Census Bureau assigned an alphabetic suffix to identify separately each tabulation block created by the location of the county subdivision boundary.

Metropolitan Areas, Urbanized Areas, and Urban Places

The Federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) establishes the standards for, and then defines, metropolitan areas (MAs) either as

freestanding metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) or as consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs) and their constituent primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSAs). In the six New England States, the geographic building blocks of MAs are MCDs or statistically equivalent entities, rather than counties as in other States. This practice harmonizes with the situation existing in New England, where the MCDs are the primary units of local government (for details, see Chapter 13, "Metropolitan Areas").

The picture varies with regard to the geographic entities that the Census Bureau uses in its urban and rural classifications. There is no necessary geographic relationship between county subdivisions and urbanized areas (UAs) because the geographic components of UAs are places and census blocks. The same is true of non-UA urban places, which are entities that have 2,500 or more residents, because places often are subdivided by MCD/CCD boundaries.

Other Geographic Entities

The boundaries of other types of geographic entities sometimes conform to county subdivision boundaries. For example, MCD boundaries also may be used to bound Congressional districts. Smaller entities voting districts, school districts, and ZIP Codes—may sometimes constitute an MCD or portions of their boundaries may coincide with MCD or CCD boundaries.

Notes and References

- ¹ At the time of the 1990 census, there were UTs in Kansas, but not in Indiana.
- ² U.S. Bureau of the Census, *Census County Divisions, Past and Future*, [by Dr. Robert C. Klove] Technical Paper No. 30, Washington, DC, 1973.
- ³ The Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (P.L. 92-203) established 13 business and nonprofit corporate entities to carry out the business and nonprofit operations established by and for Native Alaskans under the Act. Twelve have specific boundaries and cover the entire State of Alaska except for the Annette Islands Reserve; the thirteenth covers Alaska Natives not resident in Alaska who do not identify with any of the other 12 corporations. For further information, refer to Chapter 5, "American Indian and Alaska Native Areas."